	Case 2:21-cv-01552-DAD-AC Documen	it 72 Filed 04/18/2	24 Page 1 of 2
			· ·
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CIVIL RIGHTS DEPARTMENT,	No. 2:21-cv-015	552 DAD AC
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	GRIMMWAY ENTERPRISES, INC.,		
15	Defendant.		
16	r <u></u>		
17	Pending before the court is a "motion for discovery dispute," duplicitively noticed at ECF		
18	No.s 56 and 57. The motion, which is before the undersigned pursuant to E.D. Cal. Local Rule		
19	302(c)(1), was set for hearing on the papers on Tuesday, April 16, 2024. ECF No. 56. The		
20	document noticing the motion states:		
21	The parties have met and conferred regarding the interrogatories and		
22	requests for production underlying this motion, consistent with Local Rule 251(b). CRD's counsel will work to secure cooperation of		
23	counsel for Defendant Grimmway Enterprises, Inc. in preparing and executing a Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement under Local		
24	Rule 251(c) and filing withing hearing.		
25	ECF No. 56 at 2.		
26	Local Rule 251(b) establishes requirements for any party bringing a motion pursuant to		
27	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 through 37, including the requirement that the parties meet		
28	and confer and file a joint discovery statement. Here, no joint discovery statement has been filed,		

## despite plaintiff's acknowledgement that one was required. Because plaintiff, the moving party, did not satisfy Local Rule 251(b)'s meet and confer requirement and the joint discovery statement requirement, the motion will be denied without prejudice. See e.g., U.S. v. Molen, 2012 WL 5940383, at \*1 (E.D.Cal. Nov. 27, 2012) (where a party fails to comply with Local Rule 251, discovery motions are denied without prejudice to re-filing). For the reasons state above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the "motion for discovery dispute" duplicatively noticed at ECF No. 56 and ECF No. 57 is DENIED without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATE: April 17, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:21-cv-01552-DAD-AC Document 72 Filed 04/18/24 Page 2 of 2